

Peer review questions

Opening blurb relating to the session:

- i) What was the educational aim of this session?
- ii) How did you identify this aim?
- iii) Can you discuss the rationale for using this [name / briefly describe] approach to delivery this content
- iv) In this session you were working with [level] year students, what are your expectations of these students with regards to their engagement with the [examples] and their level of subject knowledge?
- v) How did these expectations inform your session design?
- vi) How effective do you feel this approach is as a model of delivery?
- vii) What do your students bring to your teaching sessions (e.g. examples from practice, new ideas)? How do you become aware of these [adjust according to the response] Do you actively use this and build this into your lecturing?
- viii) How did you monitor or establish your students' knowledge and engagement with the examples in this session?
- ix) Do you feel the session achieved what you intending when preparing for the session?
- x) On what basis do you feel you can make this judgement? (19, 20)?
- xi) Have you explored alternative ways of delivering your teaching and what may prompt this?